



Paper Type: Research Paper



A Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Approach for Care Providers' Shift Scheduling Problems

Hajar Shirneshan¹, Ahmad Sadegheih^{1,*} , Hasan Hosseini-Nasab¹, Mohammad Mehdi Lotfi¹¹ Department of Industrial Engineering, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran; h.shirneshan@stu.yazd.ac.ir; sadegheih@yazd.ac.ir; hhn@yazd.ac.ir; lotfi@yazd.ac.ir.

Citation:

Shirneshan, H., Sadegheih, A., Hosseini-Nasab, H., Lotfi, M. M. (2023). A two-stage stochastic programming approach for care providers' shift scheduling problems. *Journal of applied research on industrial engineering*, 10(3), 364-380.

Received: 02/07/2022

Reviewed: 06/08/2022

Revised: 16/09/2022

Accepted: 28/11/2022

Abstract

Due to the importance of the health field, the problem of determining the shift scheduling of care providers has been addressed in many studies, and various methods have been proposed to solve it. Considering different skills and contracts for care providers is one of the essential issues in this field. Given the uncertainty in patients' demands, it is a crucial issue as to how to assign care providers to different shifts. One area facing this uncertainty is the provision of services to cancer patients. This study develops a stochastic programming model to account for patient demand uncertainty by considering different skills and contracts for care providers. In the first step, care providers are assigned to work shifts, then, in the second step, the required overtime hours are determined. The sample average approximation method is presented to determine an optimal schedule by minimizing care providers' regular and overtime costs with different contracts and skills. Then, the appropriate sample size is 100, determined based on the Monte Carlo and Latin Hypercube methods. In the following, the lower and upper bounds of the optimal solution are calculated. As the numerical results of the study show, the convergence of the lower and upper bounds of the optimal solution is obtained from the Latin Hypercube method. The best solution is equal to 189247.3 dollars and is achieved with a difference of 0.143% between the upper bound and lower bounds of the optimal solution. The Monte Carlo simulation method is used to validate the care provider program in the next stage. As shown, in the worst case, the value of the objective function is equal to 197480 dollars.

Keywords: Healthcare, Shift scheduling, Uncertainty, Stochastic programming, Sample average approximation.

1 | Introduction

 Licensee **Journal of Applied Research on Industrial Engineering**. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).

The due to the increase of chronic diseases such as Covid-19, the costs of healthcare systems are increasing dramatically [1]. Many countries in the world have experienced Covid-19, and as a result, the need for care providers with different skills has increased [2]. Hospitals in the private sector compete to provide better services at lower costs [3]. The statistics issued by the world health organization indicate that personnel planning will be an essential priority in the field of health in the next decade. Due to the high cost of staffing, which accounts for about 40% of the total costs, medical centers must reduce their expenditures. In this regard, proper human resource planning will help significantly. A practical issue in healthcare is the planning of service shifts. This subset of employee scheduling varies greatly depending on the type of job, employee skill, and work regulations [4]. Nurse Rostering Problem (NRP) or Nurse Scheduling Problem (NSP) aims to create a schedule and assign available service providers to hospital shifts under various constraints over a period [5]. The



Corresponding Author: h.shirneshan@stu.yazd.ac.ir


<https://doi.org/10.22105/jarie.2022.349970.1488>

significance of this issue for improving service quality, staff satisfaction, health conditions, and reducing hospital costs has encouraged researchers to study it. Solving this problem results in a schedule that specifies how many people are required for different skills and when they can offer their service on a given planning horizon. The program must comply with labor laws, employee preferences, availability, labor demand, workload and demand, employment contracts, and ergonomic and technical constraints.

The high variety of problems in modeling, making assumptions, and solving methods have increased the attractiveness of those problems. In the home care field for cancer patients, shift planning is of particular importance due to different contracts for service providers, the high cost of specialized services in the field, and the high uncertainties in patients' conditions. Considering the uncertainty in patients' demands removes one of the important obstacles in making the right decision [6]. In the Iranian Health Control Center, care providers' contracts with different skills are set in three modes: full-time, part-time, and hourly. In addition, the service cost is increased by 20% to 40% per hour as a contract change from full-time to part-time or hourly. Therefore, planning for the proper assignment of care providers can significantly save system costs. As is the case, most medical centers use manual planning, and the Iranian Health Control Center is no exception [7].

Considering the patient's demand uncertainty and different contracts of care, providers can provide an efficient and flexible schedule. A two-stage stochastic programming model is presented to account for these issues. The first stage minimizes the cost of assigning care providers to shifts with different contracts. The amount of overtime required for each skill on each shift is determined in the second stage. Because of the very high number of scenarios, the Sample Average Approximation (SAA) method is used to solve the presented model. Since the sample size is one of the critical parameters of the SAA method, for determining the sample size, the two methods of Monte Carlo and Latin Hypercube are compared, and the results are shown. Based on the best sample size, the care providers' shift planning in the Iranian Health Control Center is presented.

2 | Literature Review

Medical staff planning has been a topic of research since the 1950s. According to Ernst et al. [8], making a schedule that can satisfy employees' needs is not easy. The task of medical staff planning is often complicated by staffing requirements as well as government and hospital regulations. Planners should consider the conditions and the number of patients, the expertise, work experience, and preferences of the medical staff, the hospital policies, and the rules and regulations set by the government [8]. Considering the significance of medical staff scheduling in healthcare, more studies on this issue have been published over the last two decades. Klinz et al. [9] proposed two mathematical models to minimize the total number of work shifts and nurses' general unhappiness. Topaloglu and Selim [10] introduced a multi-objective integer program for NSPs to produce an equitable schedule for nurses and satisfy hospital management objectives. Landa-silva and Le [11] presented a multi-objective approach to cope with real-world uncertainties in NSPs. To do so, they proposed an evolutionary algorithm to achieve high-quality non-dominated schedules. Ohki [12] established a cooperative genetic algorithm to re-optimize nurse schedules. Zhang et al. [13] presented a hybrid and swarm-based optimization algorithm. It combined a variable neighborhood search and a genetic algorithm to cope with a highly-constrained NSP in modern hospitals. Maenhout and Vanhoucke [14] studied the nurse allocation issue and used the column generation method to deal with it. Santos et al. [15] introduced cutting as a concept in integer programming to solve related problems innovatively. Ingels and Maenhout [16] considered the effects of defining and including reserve duties in rosters of medium-term shifts for the personnel. They used a three-stage method that imitated the workforce management process to measure the robustness achieved. After the personnel roster was designed, the events that unexpectedly occurred would be simulated, and an optimization model would determine the adjustments required to balance supply and demand. Bagheri et al. [17] introduced a stochastic mathematical model for an NSP in a heart surgery center to minimize the regular and overtime assignment costs. They assumed that patients' demands and length of stay would be uncertain. So, they used a SAA method to solve the model. In another study, Punnakitikashem et al. [18] sought to minimize

the overload of nurses through an integer MP model of a stochastic type. They dealt with the model's staffing cost as a hard budget constraint. Moreover, they used Benders' decomposition and Lagrangian relaxation methods to obtain non-dominated solutions. The resulting model was implemented in two medical and surgical wards at the Northeast Texas hospital. Chen et al. [19] studied an integrated problem of allocating a medical staff and scheduling a general staff under uncertain conditions. They solved the problem by employing a double-stage algorithm to determine a medical staff with the smallest possible size and make the best schedule. Ang et al. [20] introduced a decision support system based on a goal programming method for NSPs. They examined workload distribution, shift equity, and staff satisfaction. They also pursued minimizing the Nurse-Patient Ratio (NPR) calculated based on the number of patients allocated to each nurse. Hamid et al. [21] devised a mathematical model with multiple objectives to schedule a nursing staff, which took the decision-making styles of nurses into account. The objectives addressed in that study were the minimization of the total cost of staffing, minimization of the average index of the incompatibility in the decision-making styles of the nurses assigned to the same shift days, and maximization of the overall satisfaction of nurses with their shifts. Moreover, three meta-heuristics were developed to solve the problem, including the multi-objective Keshtel algorithm, non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm II, and multi-objective tabu search. Hassani and Behnamian [22] developed a sustainable approach with a robust scenario-based optimization method. They proposed the Differential Evolution (DE) algorithm to solve the problem and compared the performance against the genetic algorithm. The results show that the DE algorithm has good performance. Kheiri et al. [23] studied the multi-stage nurse rostering formulation. They proposed a sequence-based selection hyper-heuristic using a statistical Markov model and an algorithm for building feasible initial solutions. Empirical results and analysis show that the suggested approach has significant potential for difficult problem instances. A brief classification of the models reviewed in the literature is presented in *Table 1*. According to a comprehensive literature review, the issue of different contracts for care providers has not been addressed. However, various uncertainties must be addressed in real-world shift scheduling to provide a high-quality schedule. In this study, the subject of the uncertainty of patient demand is considered. To fill this research gap, in this study, the uncertainty of patients' demands and the types of service providers' contracts and skills are used as a basis to develop a two-stage stochastic programming model. In the following, the model is solved with the SAA method, and the parameters of the solution method are adjusted. In the end, the obtained planning validity is shown using the simulation method.

The rest of this article is as follows; Section 3 presents the proposed optimization model and describes its structure. The solution approach is introduced in Section 4, and detailed descriptions are provided for the SAA method. Section 5 presents the statistical experiments. Finally, the concluding remarks are made in Section 6.

Table 1. A brief review of the literature.

Author	Objective	Constraints					Uncertainty			Solution Approach
		Night Shifts	Working Days	Shift Tyoe	Skill Type	Type of Contracts	Fuzzy	Stochastic	Robust	
Klinz et al. [9]	Minimizing the total number of work shifts and the general unhappiness of all nurses	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Heuristic
Topaloglu and Selim [10]	Minimizing deviations from nurse preferences and hospital regulations	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	Exact
Landa-silva and Le [11]	Satisfaction with nurse preferences and work regulations	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	Meta-heuristic
Ohki [12]	Minimizing the penalty function to evaluate shift schedules	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Meta-heuristic

Table 1. Continued.

Author	Objective	Constraints					Uncertainty			Solution Approach
		Night Shifts	Working Days	Shift Tyoe	Skill Type	Type of Cntracts	Fuzzy	Stochastic	Robust	
Zhang et al. [13]	Maximizing the quality of objectives concerning the importance of constraints	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Meta-heuristic
Maenhout and Vanhoucke [14]	Minimizing the penalty associated with different types of nurses	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	Exact
Santos et al. [15]	Minimizing the penalty of assignment	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Heuristic
Ingels and Maenhout [16]	Minimizing the allocation penalty and changing the nurse schedule	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Exact & Simulation
Bagheri et al. [17]	Minimizing the regular and overtime hours of nurses	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	Sample average approximation
Punnakitikashem et al. [18]	Minimizing the excess workload on nurses and the cost of staffing	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	Benders & Lagrangian
Chen et al. [19]	Minimizing the penalty of violation of the soft constraints of nurses' preferences	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Exact
Ang et al. [20]	Minimizing the average and maximal deviations from the target ratios of nurse to patient	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Exact
Hamid et al. [21]	Minimizing the total cost of staffing and the sum of incompatibility among nurses and maximizing the satisfaction of nurses with their assigned shifts	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	Meta-heuristic
Hassani and Behnamian [22]	Minimizing the total cost of allocating shifts to nurses, reserve nurses required, overtime and underemployed costs, and cost of mismatching the nurse preferences	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	Meta-heuristic
Kheiri et al. [23]	Minimizing violation of eight soft constraints	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	Hyper-heuristic
Current study	Minimizing the costs of assigning care providers to shifts and overtime hours of care providers	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	Sample average approximation

The paper's main contributions to the literature are considering different skills and contracts for care providers in NRP and dealing with the Latin Hypercube Sampling in the SAA method.

3 | Problem Definition

Hospitals and health centers should provide the necessary services to patients in common and critical situations such as Covid-19. In recent years, due to the decrease in available care providers and the increase in diseases, the tendency for cooperation between health center managers and researchers to properly plan appropriate services for patients has increased. Therefore, one of the most critical issues is the proper distribution of care providers between work shifts. On the other hand, it is impossible to determine the demand for each skill in many cases accurately. Therefore, a two-stage stochastic programming model is proposed to achieve high-quality planning. Some of the assumptions of the problem are as follows:

- I. All care providers have identical skills.
- II. Demand behavior is the random variable based on a specific distribution function.
- III. Each care provider is only assigned one shift each day.
- IV. Each care provider has a specific contract.

After solving the proposed model, the work plan obtained for a five-day horizon can be as follows (Table 2):

Table 2. An example of a care provider's schedule.

	Saturday	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday
Nurse 1	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Afternoon
General practitioner 1	Afternoon	Morning	-	Morning	Afternoon
Specialist physician 1	Afternoon	Afternoon	Afternoon	-	Morning

In the proposed mathematical model, care providers are assigned to specific shifts, and the number of overtime hours required in possible conditions is determined. The required duration of each skill per day and each shift (de_{smd}) is stochastic. Care providers have three professions: nurses, general practitioners, and specialist physicians. Contracts are also available in three types, full-time, part-time, and hourly. The stochastic demand model for the problem of scheduling care providers can be formulated with the notations as follows:

Sets

- S | Set of skills (xx: nurse, xy: general practitioner, xz: specialist physician).
- M | Set of shifts (1: morning shift, 2: afternoon shift).
- D | Set of days.
- N | Set of contracts (full-time, part-time, hourly).
- ξ | Set of scenarios ($\xi = 1, 2, \dots, B$).
- I_{xx} | Set of nurses.
- I_{xy} | Set of general practitioners.
- I_{xz} | Set of specialist physicians.

Parameters

- aa_{ij} | 1, if nurse i is under contract j .
- ab_{ij} | 1, if general practitioner i is under contract j .
- ac_{ij} | 1, if specialist physician i is under contract j .
- h_j | Number of the hours of service by contract j per shift.
- de_{smd} | Number of the hours required of skill s per shift m per day d .
- ca_j | Cost of the nurse service with contract j per hour.
- cb_j | Cost of the general practitioner with contract j per hour.
- cc_j | Cost of the specialist physician with contract j per hour.
- c_i | Additional service cost per hour for skill i ($i = xx, xy, xz$).
- e | Minimum number of shifts for a full-time care provider.
- M | A big number.

Variables

- xx_{imd} | One, if nurse i is set for shift m on day d ; otherwise, 0.
- xy_{imd} | One, if general practitioner i is assigned to shift m on day d ; otherwise, 0.
- xy_{imd} | One, if specialist i is assigned to shift m on day d ; otherwise, 0.
- p_{imd} | Number of additional hours required for skill i on shift m per day d .

$$\text{Min } Z = \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xx}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c_a_j a a_{ij} x_{im} + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xy}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c_b_j a b_{ij} x_{ym} \tag{1}$$

$$+ \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xz}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c_c_j a c_{ij} x_{zm} + \sum_{\xi \in B} \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i \in S} \phi(\xi) c_i p_{im}^\xi \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M x_{im} \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, I_{xx}, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M x_{ym} \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, I_{xy}, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M x_{zm} \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, I_{xz}, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M a a_{ij} x_{im} \geq e, \quad j = 1, i = 1, \dots, I_{xx}, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M a b_{ij} x_{ym} \geq e, \quad j = 1, i = 1, \dots, I_{xy}, \tag{7}$$

$$p_{xx}^\xi = \left(d e_{xx}^\xi - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xx}} h_j a a_{ij} x_{im} \right) f_{xx}^\xi, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{8}$$

$$d e_{xx}^\xi \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xx}} h_j a a_{ij} x_{im} + M f_{xx}^\xi, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{9}$$

$$d e_{xx}^\xi \geq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xx}} h_j a a_{ij} x_{im} - (1 - f_{xx}^\xi) M, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{10}$$

$$p_{xy}^\xi = \left(d e_{xy}^\xi - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xy}} h_j a b_{ij} x_{ym} \right) f_{xy}^\xi, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{11}$$

$$d e_{xy}^\xi \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xy}} h_j a b_{ij} x_{ym} + M f_{xy}^\xi, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{12}$$

$$d e_{xy}^\xi \geq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xy}} h_j a b_{ij} x_{ym} - (1 - f_{xy}^\xi) M, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{13}$$

$$p_{xz}^\xi = \left(d e_{xz}^\xi - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xz}} h_j a b_{ij} x_{zm} \right) f_{xz}^\xi, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{14}$$

$$d e_{xz}^\xi \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xz}} h_j a b_{ij} x_{zm} + M f_{xz}^\xi, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{15}$$

$$d e_{xz}^\xi \geq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xz}} h_j a b_{ij} x_{zm} - (1 - f_{xz}^\xi) M, \quad m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{16}$$

$$x_{im} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, I_{xx}, \tag{17}$$

$$m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{17}$$

$$x_{ym} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, I_{xy}, \tag{18}$$

$$m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \tag{18}$$

$$x_{zm} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, I_{xz}, \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D, \\
& p_{imd} \geq 0, \quad i \in S, \quad i = xx, xy, xz, \\
& m = 1, 2, d = 1, \dots, D.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The objective function minimizes regular work hours and overtime hours. The first three terms minimize the cost of assigning care providers to work shifts, and the fourth term minimizes the cost of overtime hours caused by higher demand in different scenarios. In this regard, $\phi(\xi)$ is the probability of scenario $\xi = 1, 2, \dots, B$ and $\sum_{\xi \in B} \phi(\xi) = 1$. *Constraint (2) to Constraint (4)* ensure that each nurse, general practitioner, and specialist physician is assigned to maximally one shift a day. *Constraint (5) and Constraint (6)* ensure that the full-time nurses and general practitioners must work on at least e shifts. *Constraint (7) to Constraint (9)* specify the number of overtime hours of nursing skills per shift. *Constraint (10) to Constraint (12)* specify the number of overtime hours for specialist physicians per shift. *Constraint (13) to Constraint (15)* specify the number of overtime hours of nursing skills per shift. Eventually, *Constraint (16) to Constraint (19)* define the model's variables.

This research assumes that the required number of hours of skill s on shift m per day (de_{smd}) has a discrete uniform distribution in the interval (a, b) . An exact solution can be obtained for small-size problems, but as the size of the problem increases, the solution time increases too. This study solves the problem with the SAA algorithm. A recourse action model is applied to formulate the model of solving the problem with that algorithm. Section 4 delineates the basic features of the new model.

3.1 | Programming with the Stochastic Integer Recourse Model

Stochastic programming models have appeared as extensions of optimization problems with random parameters. Consider the optimization problem below [24]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min \quad cx, \\
& \text{s. t.} \quad Ax = b, \\
& \quad \quad Tx = h, \\
& \quad \quad x \in X,
\end{aligned}$$

where $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ indicates the non-negativity of the decision variable x and possibly the integrality constraints on it. In addition to m_1 deterministic constraints of $Ax = b$, there is a set of m constraints of $Tx = h$, where the parameters T and h depend on information and become available only after a decision is made on x . A class of stochastic programming models, known as recourse models, is obtained by allowing additional or recourse decisions after realizing the random variables T and h . So, recourse models are dynamic; the stages model the time discretely based on the existing data. If the uncertainty is all dissolved simultaneously, it can be captured by a recourse model in two stages, present, and future. Considering a first-stage decision x , the infeasibility of $h - Tx$ for every possible (q, T, h) is compensated with minimum costs. In contrast, second-stage decisions are made as an optimal solution to the second-stage problem. This specifies the minimal recourse costs as a function of the first-stage decision x , and the realization of ξ is denoted by $v(x, \xi)$. Its expectation, $Q(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[v(x, \xi)]$, yields the expected recourse costs associated with the first-stage decision x . Thus, the two-stage recourse model is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min \quad (cx + Q(x)), \\
& \text{s. t.} \quad Ax = b, \\
& \quad \quad x \in X,
\end{aligned}$$

where the objective function $cx + Q(x)$ specifies the total expected costs of decision x [24]. The stochastic demand model of scheduling care providers can be expressed as the following recourse model.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min } Z = & \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xx}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c_{aj} a_{ij} x_{imd} \\ & + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xy}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c_{bj} a_{ij} y_{imd} \\ & + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xz}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c_{cj} a_{ij} z_{imd} + E[Q(x, \xi)], \end{aligned}$$

s. t. 1) – 5),

where $E[Q(x, \xi)]$ is the recourse action function, and

$$Q(x, \xi) = \min_{\xi \in B} \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i \in S} \phi(\xi) c_i p_{imd}^{\xi}$$

s. t. 6) – 16).

The $\xi \in B$ vector contains numerous scenarios. So, to obtain $E[Q(x, \xi)]$, lots of similar Integer Linear Programs (ILPs) [25] must be solved, which is a difficult calculation task. Since it is hard to provide an exact solution to the proposed model, the following section proposes an approximation.

4 | Sample Average Approximation

Several solution methods, such as SAA, exist to solve stochastic models. The SAA method is a Monte Carlo simulation-based method that solves stochastic programming problems by generating random samples and approximating the expected function values through the average functions of the corresponding samples. The stop criterion determines how long the algorithm will last. Over the years, various authors have used the idea of SAA to solve stochastic programs. For example, it was employed to solve stochastic knapsack problems [26], stochastic routing problems [27], supply chain problems [28], and investment problems [29]. Due to the high applicability of the SAA method, it has been selected to solve the model in this study. The method is delineated below:

Suppose M is the number of replications, N is the number of scenarios in the sample problem, and N' denotes the sample size used to estimate $C^T \widehat{X} + E[Q(\widehat{X}, \xi)]$ for a given feasible solution \widehat{X} . So, the SAA method can be described as follows [27]:

I. Repeat the following steps for $m = 1, \dots, M$:

Generate $\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{N'}$ as an N' -random sample

Solve the problem by the SAA method and take \widehat{X}_N^m as a solution vector and \widehat{Z}_N^m as an optimal objective value.

Generate $\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{N'}$ as an independent random sample. Evaluate $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m)$ and $S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m)}^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m) &= \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xx}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c a_j a a_{ij} x x_{imd} \\ &+ \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xy}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c b_j a b_{ij} x y_{imd} \\ &+ \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xz}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c c_j a c_{ij} x z_{imd} \\ &+ \frac{1}{N'} \sum_{n=1}^{N'} \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i \in S} \phi(\xi) c_i p_{imd}^\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m)}^2 &= \frac{1}{N'(N'-1)} \sum_{n=1}^{N'} \left[\sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xx}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c a_j a a_{ij} x x_{imd} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xy}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c b_j a b_{ij} x y_{imd} \\ &+ \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^{I_{xz}} \sum_{j=1}^N h_j c c_j a c_{ij} x z_{imd} + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i \in S} \phi(\xi) c_i p_{imd} \\ &\left. - \widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X}) \right] \\ \bar{Z}_N^M &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{Z}_N^m, S_{\bar{Z}_N^M}^2 = \frac{1}{M(M-1)} \sum_{m=1}^M [\hat{Z}_N^m - \bar{Z}_N^M]. \end{aligned}$$

II. Evaluate \bar{Z}_N^M and $S_{\bar{Z}_N^M}^2$.

The following formula serves to calculate the confidence interval for the optimality gap:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}_N^M &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \widehat{Z}_N^m, S_{\bar{Z}_N^M}^2 = \frac{1}{M(M-1)} \sum_{m=1}^M [\widehat{Z}_N^m - \bar{Z}_N^M]. \\ \widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m) - \bar{Z}_N^M + Z_\alpha \left\{ S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X})}^2 + S_{\bar{Z}_N^M}^2 \right\}^{0.5} \end{aligned}$$

Here is $Z_\alpha = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$, in which $\Phi(Z)$ stands for the cumulative pattern of the standard normal distribution.

III. In the case of each solution \widehat{X}_N^m , the parameter $m = 1, \dots, M$ determines the optimality gap with $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m) - \bar{Z}_N^M$ along with an estimated variance of $S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m)}^2 + S_{\bar{Z}_N^M}^2$. One of the M candidate solutions is selected based on the least estimated objective value.

In the algorithm, \bar{Z}_N^M and $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m)$ are the lower and upper bounds of the optimal value, respectively [30]. The parameter \bar{Z}_N^M shows an unbiased estimator of the optimal objective function $E(\widehat{Z}_N)$. Here, $\bar{Z}_N^M = E(\widehat{Z}_N)$ and $E(\widehat{Z}_N) \leq Z^*$. Moreover, $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m)$ presents an unbiased estimator of the objective value $E(\widehat{Z}_N)$, but $E(\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}_N^m)) \geq Z^*$.

5 | Numerical Results

5.1 | Case Study

This section reports a case study planned at the Iranian Health Control Center. There were nine nurses, seven general practitioners, and three specialist physicians with 24 working days divided into two shifts, morning and afternoon. The corresponding data were obtained from the Iranian Health Control Center to evaluate the distribution of the demand for each skill each day and each shift. The demand had a uniform distribution in the intervals (24, 36), (12, 18), and (5, 9) per hour for the nurse, general practitioner, and specialist physician in each shift, respectively. *Table 3* shows the cost per contact hour for different skills. The cost of each additional hour of service for a nurse, general practitioner, and specialist physician is 90, 160, and 240 dollars, respectively. Contracts are available in three types, full-time, part-time, and hourly, containing 8 hours, 4 hours, and 2 hours per shift, respectively. The minimum number of shifts(e) for full-time contract nurses and general practitioners is 20, which is obtained based on the information from the Iranian Health Control Center. *Table 4* presents the summary of the parameters.

Table 3. The wage of each skill per hour (\$).

	Nurse	General Practitioner	Specialist Physician
Full time	50	60	110
Part-time	60	110	150
Hourly	70	150	200
Cost of an additional hour	90	160	240

Table 1. Summary of parameters.

Contracts	Full-Time, Part-Time, Hourly
Skills	Nurse, general practitioner, specialist physician
$h_{full-time}$	8
$h_{part-time}$	4
h_{hourly}	2
e	20

The proposed approach was put to practice in Python, employing a GUROBI optimization solver (<http://www.gurobi.com/>) on a mac book pro with an 8-core CPU and 8-GB RAM.

5.2 | Numerical Results

This section is dedicated to the experimental results of implementing our approach in the Iranian Health Control Center. In this approach, the N quantities of 1, 20, 50, and 100 and the M quantities of 10 and $N' = 20000$ for the SAA algorithm presented in Section 3, and the Monte Carlo method was used to generate random numbers. *Table 5* to *Table 8* show the results. Column $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})$ shows the value of the objective function based on N' independent random sample, and column $S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})}^2$ indicates the value of variance. Column \widehat{Z}_N^m specifies the optimal value of the objective function considering the N scenario. The gap column shows $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X}) - \widehat{Z}_N^m$, and Var column refers to $S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})}^2 + S_{\widehat{Z}_N^m}^2$. As the value of N increased from 1 to 20, 50, and 100, the Var changed from 222039.63 to 56973.116, 10280.988, and 3449.325; there was a decrease of 99.97% in total. Moreover, with the increase of N, the mean values of $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})$ and \widehat{Z}_N^m began to converge to make an optimal solution. The mean of $\sum_{i=1}^M \widehat{g}_{N'}^i(\widehat{X})/M - \widehat{Z}_N^m$ decreased from 10976.77 to 251.16 for N values of 1 to 100. The total reduction was 97.8%. These values showed a convergence for an optimal solution.

Table 5. Simple Monte Carlo method: N = 1, M = 10 and N' = 20000.

m	$\widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X})$	$S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X})}^2$	\widehat{Z}_N^m	Gap	Var
1	198972.3	286.3	184279	13881.35	222060.9
2	195957.3	263.64	185240	10866.42	222038.2
3	195868.2	297.41	185570	10777.28	222072
4	197264	243.38	188200	12173.06	222018
5	196737.7	253.38	183060	11646.75	222028
6	195290.8	259.71	184470	10199.94	222034.3
7	193692.3	246.14	185130	8601.37	222020.7
8	195807.1	268.63	186560	10716.22	222043.2
9	196799.7	274.34	183450	11708.76	222048.9
10	194287.3	257.48	184950	9196.35	222032.1
$\bar{Z}_N^m = 185090.9$					
$S_{\bar{Z}_N^m}^2 = 221774.59$					

Table 6. Simple Monte Carlo method: N = 20, M = 10 and N' = 2000.

	$\widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X})$	$S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X})}^2$	\widehat{Z}_N^m	Gap	Var
1	189620.6	260.45	188152.5	953.4	56977.13
2	189600.5	257.26	189520	933.273	56973.94
3	189591.9	255.13	188311.5	924.7	56971.81
4	189544	260.26	187329.5	876.76	56976.94
5	189592	258.66	188913.5	924.83	56975.34
6	189613.1	259.02	189344.5	945.89	56975.7
7	189583.7	258.92	187789.5	916.47	56975.6
8	189544.2	253.49	188928	877.02	56970.17
9	189689.9	252.14	189571.5	1022.66	56968.82
10	189642.1	249.03	188811.5	974.85	56965.71
$\bar{Z}_N^m = 188667.2$					
$S_{\bar{Z}_N^m}^2 = 56716.68$					

Table 7. Simple Monte Carlo method: N = 50, M = 10 and N' = 20000.

	$\widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X})$	$S_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\bar{X})}^2$	\widehat{Z}_N^m	Gap	Var
1	189523.9	258.39	189129.4	515.29	10281.55
2	189554.4	257.36	189046.4	545.8	10280.52
3	189502.4	263.52	188939.8	493.75	10286.68
4	189510.6	254.97	188681.8	501.98	10278.13
5	189529.3	257.63	188575	520.65	10280.79
6	189529.2	259.21	189338.8	520.61	10282.37
7	189543.1	254.34	188856.2	534.51	10277.5
8	189519.1	259.17	189368	510.43	10282.33
9	189488.9	258.57	188677.8	480.26	10281.73
10	189546.5	255.12	189473	537.86	10278.28
$\bar{Z}_N^m = 189008.62$					
$S_{\bar{Z}_N^m}^2 = 10023.16$					

Table 8. Simple Monte Carlo method: N = 100, M = 10 and N' = 20000.

	$\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})$	$S^2_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})}$	\widehat{Z}_N^m	Gap	Var
1	189520.5	261.47	189356.8	243.11	3454.56
2	189500.7	255.04	189063.1	223.32	3448.13
3	189524.1	252.5	189333.3	246.72	3445.59
4	189565.9	254.14	189285.6	288.46	3447.23
5	189500.6	258.71	189063.4	223.16	3451.8
6	189551.9	251.47	188997.8	274.48	3444.56
7	189526.2	256.26	189471.2	248.76	3449.35
8	189527	257.76	189517.6	249.65	3450.85
9	189543.4	258.78	189388.6	266.04	3451.87
10	189525.2	256.22	189296.5	247.8	3449.31
$\bar{Z}_N^m = 189277.39$					
$S^2_{\bar{Z}_N^m} = 3193.09$					

The next step generated uncertain parameters with the Latin Hypercube Sampling method [31] to reduce the SAA method's variance. This is a stratified random sampling method by which samples are selected from many variables so that the sample for each variable has the highest degree of classification. As shown in Table 9, with the Latin Hypercube Sampling method, the variance at the lowest point (N = 100) in the Monte Carlo simulation decreased from 3449.325 to 141.531, which means a reduction of 96%. Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 show the box plot for the Monte Carlo sampling method and the Latin Hypercube Sampling method, respectively. As can be seen, the dispersion of the values of $\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})$ and \widehat{Z}_N^m obtained in the Monte Carlo sampling method is greater than the Latin Hypercube Sampling method.

Table 9. LHS method (N = 100, M = 10 and N' = 20000).

	$\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})$	$S^2_{\widehat{g}_{N'}(\widehat{X})}$	\widehat{Z}_N^m	Gap	Var
1	189582.3	14.17	189340.8	273.01	143.57
2	189577.4	14.36	189308.1	268.12	143.76
3	189582.2	14.07	189332.8	272.93	143.47
4	189580.7	14.09	189281.3	271.4	143.49
5	189578.6	13.89	189365.4	269.33	143.29
6	189576.2	14.01	189288.6	266.88	143.41
7	189578.7	14.31	189247.3	269.45	143.71
8	189582.9	14.3	189275	273.61	143.7
9	189579	13.96	189319.3	269.68	143.36
10	189577.5	14.15	189334.2	268.18	143.55
$\bar{Z}_N^m = 189309.28$					
$S^2_{\bar{Z}_N^m} = 129.4$					




Fig. 1. Simple Monte Carlo method ($N = 100$, $M = 10$ and $N' = 20000$).




Fig. 2. LHS method ($N = 100$, $M = 10$ and $N' = 20000$).

Any significant difference between the means of the gap of Monte Carlo and the Latin Hypercube Sampling methods was checked with the Mann-Whitney test. As the test was performed with $\alpha = 0.05$, the null hypothesis was rejected (p -value = 0.013). Therefore, a significant difference was detected between the means of those two methods. Since the Latin Hypercube Sampling method reduced the variance (Var) to 96%, the least value of the objective function of this method was selected. Based on the results, 189247.3 was the lowest objective function value and, thus, was selected as the best answer. Table 10 to Table 12 show the shift plans of nurses, general practitioners, and specialist physicians determined based on the best response. In these tables, morning or afternoon shifts are assigned to each care provider; otherwise, that provider would not be busy. The letters M and A on the tables stand for the morning and afternoon shifts, respectively. According to the obtained schedule, nurses' workload is more than other care providers due to the higher demand for this skill. In the case of general practitioners and specialist physicians, the workload is less; however, the supply of human resources is more complex, and proper planning should be done for this matter.

Table 102. Nurses' work schedule.

Days		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	A	M	M	M	A	A	A	A	A	M	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	M	M	A	M	M	A	
2	M	M	M	M	M	A	A	A	M	M	M	A	M	A	A	M	A	M	M	A	M	A	M	A	
3	M	M	A	M	M	M	M	M	M	A	M	M	A	M	M	M	A	M	A	M	M	M	A	A	
4	A	A	M	A	A	M	M	M	M	A	A	A	A	M	M	A	M	A	M	A	A	A	M	M	
5	A	A	A	A	A	A	M	A	A	A	M	M	M	A	M	M	M	A	A	M	A	M	A	M	
6	M	A	A	A	M	A	A	M	A	A	A	M	M	M	A	A	M	M	A	A	M	M	A	M	
7	A	A	A	A	M	M	M	A	A	M	A	M	M	A	A	A	M	A	A	A	M	A	A	M	
8	M	A	A	A	A	M	A	M	A	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	A	M	A	A	A	M	
9	M	A	M	A	M	A	M	A	A	M	M	A	A	A	M	A	M	M	M	M	A	A	M	M	

Morning shift Afternoon shift

Table 11. General practitioners' work schedule.

Days		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	A	M	M	A	M	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	M	M	M	A	A	M	A	
2	M	A	A	M	A	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	A	A	A	M	M	M	M	
3	A	M	A	A	A	A	M	A	A	M	M	A	A	M	A	A	M	A	M	A	A	M	A	A	
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	M	A	M	M	A	-	-	M	-	A	A	M	
5	-	A	M	-	-	M	A	M	M	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	M	-	-	-	
6	M	-	-	M	M	-	-	-	-	A	M	-	-	-	-	-	-	M	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	M	A	M	M	M	M	A	M	M	A	A	M	M	A	M	M	A	M	A	M	M	A	A	M	

Table 12. Specialist physicians' work schedule.

Days		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	M	M	M	M	-	M	-	M	M	-	M	M	M	-	M	A	M	M	M	M	-	-	M	M	
2	A	A	A	A	M	A	M	A	A	M	A	A	A	M	A	-	A	A	A	A	M	A	A	A	
3	-	-	-	-	A	-	A	-	-	A	-	-	-	A	-	M	-	-	-	-	A	M	-	-	

After the service planning was conducted, the Monte Carlo simulation method validated the model. As many as 1000 problems were generated randomly, and calculations were performed to obtain the values of their objective functions. The histogram in *Fig. 3* presents the obtained values. As the results revealed, the average value of the total cost was 191521, which shows a 1% difference from the best solution obtained through solving the model by the SAA method. The worst value of the objective function obtained from the simulation method was 1974480, which shows a 4% difference from the best result obtained by solving the SAA model.




Fig. 1. Simulation results.

5.3 | Managerial Implications

In addition to the significant cost reduction resulting from more efficient shift scheduling, the daily use of shift schedules has important managerial implications for the workload of home care, hospital administrators, and care providers. This schedule can free the care providers to deal with tasks requiring closer patient interactions. Moreover, setting a shift schedule makes it possible to hold training courses and update the care providers in their free time. Another advantage of this planning is to provide a robust program against changes in patient demand. The continuous use of planning can be beneficial for patients. If they ever face a shortage of care providers, the necessary predictions have already been made in a schedule. To provide better plans, in this case, continual cooperation between the Iranian Health Control Center and universities seems necessary. Hospitals and other home care centers can also plan with more constraints if required.

6 | Conclusion

In real-world shift scheduling, care providers cooperate with various practitioners and work by different contracts in health care centers under different sources of uncertainty, such as patient demand. Among the patients for whom demand uncertainty may occur are cancer patients, who experience unpredictable conditions during their illness. This study addresses the issue, and the demand distribution is estimated based on the available data. To this end, a two-stage stochastic programming model is presented, and the problem is solved with the SAA method. Based on the results, by increasing the sample size (N) from 1 to 100, the upper and lower bounds of the optimal solution begin to converge to the optimal solution. Monte Carlo and Latin Hypercube Sampling methods were used for sampling in the SAA method. The results show that the Latin Hypercube Sampling method has 96% less variance than the Monte Carlo method. Also, the distance between the upper and lower bounds for Latin Hypercube Sampling is 0.143%, which shows the proximity of the solution to the optimal value. Then, based on the best answer obtained from the Latin Hypercube Sampling method, the work schedule of care providers is presented in timetables. Finally, the solution method has proved to be efficient by using the Monte Carlo simulation method.

There are several recommendations to make for future research in nurse scheduling. The specific skills needed by individual patients can be considered a basis for assigning relevant care providers. Moreover, human factors involved in care providing seem exciting topics to study. Other methods can also be tried to solve stochastic programming models. Also, different robust approaches can be used to face the uncertainty of patients' demands. Finally, models may be developed in areas like fire stations and emergency centers where shift planning is needed.

Conflicts of Interest

All co-authors have seen and agree with the contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report. We certify that the submission is original work and is not under review at any other publication.

- [1] Zurn, P., Dolea, C., & Stilwell, B. (2005). *Nurse retention and recruitment: developing a motivated workforce*. <https://www.hrhresourcecenter.org/node/628.html>
- [2] Niksirat, M., & Nasser, S. H. (2021). Forecasting of the number of cases and deaths due to corona disease using neuro-fuzzy networks. *Journal of decisions and operations research*, 5(4), 414-425. (In Persian). https://www.journal-dmor.ir/article_121460.html
- [3] Ghasemi, S., Aghsami, A., & Rabbani, M. (2021). Data envelopment analysis for estimate efficiency and ranking operating rooms: a case study. *International journal of research in industrial engineering*, 10(1), 67–86. https://www.riejournal.com/article_122559.html
- [4] Salehi-Kordabadi, S., Godarzvand Chegini, M., Ismaeilpoor, S., & Zad-Dousti, F. (2021). Human resource management activities and organizational commitment among the engineers and technical technicians of the electricity company. *Innovation management and operational strategies*, 2(1), 79–95. (In Persian). https://www.journal-imos.ir/article_129728.html?lang=en
- [5] Lagatie, R., Haspeslagh, S., De Causmaecker, P., Calders, T., Tuyls, K., & Pechenizkiy, M. (2009). *Negotiation protocols for distributed nurse rostering* [presentation]. Proceedings of the 21st benelux conference on artificial intelligence (pp. 145–152). <https://lirias.kuleuven.be/1556343?limo=0>
- [6] Ejegwa, P. A., & Onyeke, I. C. (2020). Medical diagnostic analysis on some selected patients based on modified Thao et al.'s correlation coefficient of intuitionistic fuzzy sets via an algorithmic approach. *Journal of fuzzy extension and applications*, 1(2), 122–132. https://www.journal-fea.com/article_115259.html
- [7] De Causmaecker, P., & Vanden Berghe, G. (2011). A categorisation of nurse rostering problems. *Journal of scheduling*, 14, 3–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10951-010-0211-z>
- [8] Ernst, A. T., Jiang, H., Krishnamoorthy, M., & Sier, D. (2004). Staff scheduling and rostering: a review of applications, methods and models. *European journal of operational research*, 153(1), 3–27. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037722170300095X>
- [9] Klinz, B., Pferschy, U., & Schauer, J. (2007). ILP models for a nurse scheduling problem. *Operations research proceedings 2006* (pp. 319–324). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-540-69995-8_52
- [10] Topaloglu, S., & Selim, H. (2010). Nurse scheduling using fuzzy modeling approach. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 161(11), 1543–1563. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165011409004151>
- [11] Landa-Silva, D., & Le, K. N. (2008). A simple evolutionary algorithm with self-adaptation for multi-objective nurse scheduling. *Adaptive and multilevel metaheuristics*, 133-155. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-540-79438-7_7
- [12] Ohki, M. (2012). Effective operators using parallel processing for nurse scheduling by cooperative genetic algorithm. *International journal of data mining, modelling and management*, 4(1), 57–73. <https://www.inderscienceonline.com/doi/abs/10.1504/IJDM.2012.045136>
- [13] Zhang, Z., Hao, Z., & Huang, H. (2011). Hybrid swarm-based optimization algorithm of GA & VNS for nurse scheduling problem. *Information computing and applications: second international conference (ICICA)* (pp. 375-382). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-25255-6_48
- [14] Maenhout, B., & Vanhoucke, M. (2013). An integrated nurse staffing and scheduling analysis for longer-term nursing staff allocation problems. *Omega*, 41(2), 485–499.
- [15] Santos, H. G., Toffolo, T. A. M., Gomes, R. A. M., & Ribas, S. (2016). Integer programming techniques for the nurse rostering problem. *Annals of operations research*, 239, 225–251.
- [16] Ingels, J., & Maenhout, B. (2015). The impact of reserve duties on the robustness of a personnel shift roster: an empirical investigation. *Computers & operations research*, 61, 153–169.
- [17] Bagheri, M., Gholinejad Devin, A., & Izanloo, A. (2016). An application of stochastic programming method for nurse scheduling problem in real word hospital. *Computers & industrial engineering*, 96, 192–200. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S036083521630050X>
- [18] Punnakitikashem, P., Rosenberber, J. M., & Buckley-Behan, D. F. (2013). A stochastic programming approach for integrated nurse staffing and assignment. *IIE transactions*, 45(10), 1059–1076. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0740817X.2012.763002>

- [19] Chen, P. S., Lin, Y. J., & Peng, N. C. (2016). A two-stage method to determine the allocation and scheduling of medical staff in uncertain environments. *Computers & industrial engineering*, 99, 174–188. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360835216302534>
- [20] Ang, B. Y., Lam, S. W. S., Pasupathy, Y., & Ong, M. E. H. (2018). Nurse workforce scheduling in the emergency department: a sequential decision support system considering multiple objectives. *Journal of nursing management*, 26(4), 432–441. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12560>
- [21] Hamid, M., Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R., Golpaygani, F., & Vahedi-Nouri, B. (2019). A multi-objective model for a nurse scheduling problem by emphasizing human factors. *Proceedings of the institution of mechanical engineers, part H: journal of engineering in medicine*, 234(2), 179–199.
- [22] Hassani, M. R., & Behnamian, J. (2021). A scenario-based robust optimization with a pessimistic approach for nurse rostering problem. *Journal of combinatorial optimization*, 41(1), 143–169.
- [23] Kheiri, A., Gretsista, A., Keedwell, E., Lulli, G., Epitropakis, M. G., & Burke, E. K. (2021). A hyper-heuristic approach based upon a hidden Markov model for the multi-stage nurse rostering problem. *Computers & operations research*, 130, 105221. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305054821000137>
- [24] Bertsimas, D., & Sim, M. (2004). The price of robustness. *Operations research*, 52(1), 35–53.
- [25] Birge, J. R., & Louveaux, F. (2011). *Introduction to stochastic programming*. Springer New York, NY. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4614-0237-4>
- [26] Kleywegt, A. J., Shapiro, A., & Homem-de-Mello, T. (2002). The sample average approximation method for stochastic discrete optimization. *SIAM journal on optimization*, 12(2), 479–502.
- [27] Verweij, B., Ahmed, S., Kleywegt, A. J., Nemhauser, G., & Shapiro, A. (2003). The sample average approximation method applied to stochastic routing problems: a computational study. *Computational optimization and applications*, 24, 289–333. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021814225969>
- [28] Santoso, T., Ahmed, S., Goetschalckx, M., & Shapiro, A. (2005). A stochastic programming approach for supply chain network design under uncertainty. *European journal of operational research*, 167(1), 96–115. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0377221704002292>
- [29] Pagnoncelli, B. K., Ahmed, S., & Shapiro, A. (2009). Sample average approximation method for chance constrained programming: theory and applications. *Journal of optimization theory and applications*, 142(2), 399–416. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-009-9523-6>
- [30] Mak, W. K., Morton, D. P., & Wood, R. K. (1999). Monte Carlo bounding techniques for determining solution quality in stochastic programs. *Operations research letters*, 24(1-2), 47–56.
- [31] McKay, M. D., Beckman, R. J., & Conover, W. J. (1979). Comparison of three methods for selecting values of input variables in the analysis of output from a computer code. *Technometrics*, 21, 239–245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00401706.1979.10489755>