



Paper Type: Review Paper

The Risk Factors for the Prevalence of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among Construction Workers: A Review

Md Sumon Rahman^{1,*} , Jiro Sakamoto¹

¹ Division of Transdisciplinary Sciences, Kanazawa University, Japan; rahman@stu.kanazawa-u.ac.jp; sakamoto@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp.

Citation:

Received: 28 August 2023

Revised: 22 October 2023

Accepted: 19 November 2023

Rahman, M., & Sakamoto, J. (2024). The risk factors for the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among construction workers: a review. *Journal of applied research on industrial engineering*, 11(2), 155-165.


Abstract


Construction trades are considered to be at high risk for Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSDs) due to their nature. Previous reviews have addressed various risk factors for developing WRMSDs among construction workers. However, the results appear insufficient because no proper evidence was reported. Therefore, the purpose of this current review is to summarize the occurrence rates of WRMSDs and quantify the relationships between various risk factors and WRMSDs among construction workers. Literature searches were conducted through the following six electronic databases from 2000 to 2022: ScienceDirect, PubMed, Web of Science, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and Medline. Selected articles were classified as having a strong, moderate, limited, and no effect, respectively, based on their association with WRMSDs. From the selected 66 articles, the highest occurrence rates of WRMSDs were found in construction workers (ranging from 33% to 89%). There were several significant risk factors for developing WRMSDs in construction workers, including age, working experiences, awkward working postures, vibration, repetitive body movement, manual material handling, biomechanical stress, and physical fatigue. However, most of the study was conducted through a cross-sectional survey to investigate the relationships between these risk factors and WRMSDs in construction workers. To determine the insights on risk factors and WRMSDs among construction workers, experimental, longitudinal, and real-time task-based studies can be conducted. This study may be helpful in improving awareness about risk factors for developing WRMSDs among construction workers.

Keywords: Risk factors, Work-related musculoskeletal disorders, Prevalence, Construction workers.

1 | Introduction

Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSDs) refer to injuries and pains affecting the joints, tendons, muscles, ligaments, cartilage, and blood vessels [1], [2]. Due to their exposure to ergonomic risk factors, construction workers are at a high risk of developing WRMSDs. Globally, the incidence of WRMSDs among construction workers is significantly elevated [3]. For instance, the occurrence rates of WRMSDs among

 Corresponding Author: rahman@stu.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

 <https://doi.org/10.22105/jarie.2023.413676.1561>



Licensee System Analytics. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).

construction workers in various countries were as follows: Saudi Arabia (12-month prevalence) [4] at 48.5%, Taiwan (12-month prevalence) [5] at 68%, Malaysia (12-month prevalence) [6] at 67.7%, Hong Kong (3-month prevalence) [7] 41%, Pakistan (7-day prevalence) [8] 89%, and Nigeria (7 day prevalence) [9] at 87.3%. According to recent findings, approximately 77% of American construction workers reported at least one body part disorder in the previous year [10].

In addition to causing physical discomfort, WRMSDs may lead to increased work absenteeism and financial burdens for construction workers [11]. Bhattacharya found that the authorities in the US construction industry spend over \$400 million annually on workers' compensation [12]. It has been revealed that approximately 85% of sick leave cases in the Swedish construction industry are related to WRMSDs [13]. The rate of injuries due to WRMSDs among construction workers is the highest when compared to other sectors, at 45 per 10000 workers [14]. These statistics clearly demonstrate the association between WRMSDs and productivity in the construction industry.

According to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), several risk factors, including repetitive movement, vibrations, awkward working postures, excessive force, contact stress, air temperature, and Manual Material Handling (MMH), can lead to the development of WRMSDs [14]. Due to the nature of their jobs, construction industry workers are frequently exposed to various physical stressors, which may raise the risk of WRMSDs development. Abnormal working postures among construction workers are also known contributors to WRMSDs development. Individual factors, such as age, working experiences, and job type, can also induce WRMSDs among construction workers [4], [15]. Researchers have revealed that the lower back, neck, and upper extremities are the most affected body parts [16], [17]. During overhead work, construction workers often experience shoulder and neck pain [18]. This discomfort is attributed to vibrations from machines and non-neutral body postures [18]. However, researchers have recommended various reliable risk assessment techniques to identify construction workers at risk of developing WRMSDs [19].

Using proper tools, equipment, and postures can help mitigate awkward working habits. To reduce the detrimental effects of job hazards on the development of WRMSDs in construction workers and minimize physical risk exposures associated with WRMSDs, both the OSHA and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) have recommended general ergonomics regulations [20]. Authors have also recommended identifying potential risk factors for WRMSDs at the beginning stages [21]. However, different construction trades are associated with distinct types of risk factors, making it essential to identify the trades-specific risk factors to establish appropriate occupational strategies.

Despite recent literature comparing and summarizing prevalence estimates of WRMSDs and evidence for relationships between physical and psychological risk factors and WRMSDs in construction workers from various trades [22], it did not include a summary of the relationship between individual factors and other biomechanical factors and WRMSDs in construction workers. The current review study aims to review the prevalence rates of WRMSDs and determine the levels of effect concerning the associations between various individual, ergonomic, and other risk factors and WRMSDs among construction workers, with the aim of supporting the current occupational guidelines for workers in this industry.

2 | Methods

2.1 | Searching Approach

In this study, the authors conducted searches and reviewed articles from six specific electronic databases: ScienceDirect, PubMed, Web of Science, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and Medline. Peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, case studies, and review articles were searched from 2000 to 2022 using the primary keywords: risk factors, musculoskeletal disorders, and construction workers. The search spanned from November 2022 to May 2023. Each article's title and abstract were used to determine its inclusion in the current study, followed by a thorough reading of the selected manuscripts. There were no language restrictions during the initial search, and a database was formed using the retrieved articles.

2.2 | Study Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

In this study, the following criteria were employed to determine which articles to include 1) duplication: a database was created to identify and remove duplicate articles, 2) published in English: articles not written in English were excluded, 3) sample: only article focusing on workers within the construction sector were considered, 4) types of article: included cross-sectional studies, direct observational, experimental studies, and 5) risk factors: selected papers were required to examine the associations between WRMSDs and various risk factors, including individual, ergonomic, psychosocial and others. Exclusion criteria comprised studies that investigate risk factors for WRMSDs in sectors other than construction, simulation models, and skeletal models.

Articles were classified based on the association between risk factors and WRMSDs. The classification of the selected articles was as follows: 1) strong effect: consistent findings in various studies with a high association with WRMSDs, 2) moderate effect: consistent results in various studies with medium association with WRMSDs, 3) limited effect: consistent description findings in various studies with a lower association with WRMSDs, and 4) no effect: consistent description findings in various studies with no relationship with WRMSDs. *Fig. 1* represents the flow diagram of the procedure followed for this review study. Two independent readers screened the selected articles based on the selection criteria to avoid bias.




Fig. 1. The flow diagram of the review study.

3 | Results

3.1 | Prevalence of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among Construction Workers

The incidence of WRMSDs occurrences among construction workers is increasing day by day all over the world. *Table 1* shows studies on the Prevalence of WRMSDs among construction workers in various countries. The selected studies were conducted in fourteen different countries or sites, including Pakistan, Nigeria, the USA, Trinidad, Hong Kong, Thailand, Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, Sri Lanka, Iran, Netherlands, Ghana, India, and Ethiopia. Among these studies, nine were cross-sectional surveys; three included surveys with additional features – one involving face-to-face interviews and medical examinations and another combining a survey with observation. The participants' ages ranged from 16 years to 75 years.

Table 1. Prevalence of WRMSDs among construction workers.

Study	Country	Method	Population	Mean/Range Age (Years)	Rate of WRMSDs (%)
Kashif et al. [8]	Pakistan	Cross-sectional survey	666	34.49	89
Adedoyin et al. [9]	Nigeria	Cross-sectional survey	118	18-35	87.3
Wang et al. [23]	USA	Cross-sectional survey	10,000	>16	40
Mungroo and Choi [24]	Trinidad	Survey and observational study	62	34	54
Yi and Chan [7]	Hong Kong	Face-to-face interview and medical examination	942	45.1	41
Hanklang et al. [25]	Thailand	Cross-sectional survey	272	48.2	57.7
Deros et al. [6]	Malaysia	Cross-sectional survey	66	17-50	66.7
Alghadir and Anwer [4]	Saudi Arabia	Cross-sectional survey	165	20-55	48.5
Reddy et al. [26]	India	Cross-sectional survey	308	21-40	33.8
Fernando et al. [27]	Sri Lanka	Cross-sectional survey	192	18-75	82.5
Derakhshan Jazari et al. [28]	Iran	Self-reported survey	850	37.5	53.5
Boschman [29]	Netherlands	Baseline questionnaire survey	750	50	67
Mustapha et al. [30]	Ghana	Questionnaire survey	215	18-36	56
Berhanu et al. [31]	Ethiopia	Cross-sectional survey	566	25.78	39

Seven studies reported a 12-month prevalence of WRMSDs [4], [23], [25], [32]-[35], while three studies reported a 7-day prevalence of WRMSDs among construction workers [8], [9], [36].

3.2 | Supporting Studies about the Association between Individual Risk Factors and WRMSDs

Table 2 represents the association between individual risk factors and WRMSDs in construction workers.

Table 2. The supporting studies for the association between individual risk factors and WRMSDs.

Study	Risk Factors	Levels of Findings of a Specific Risk Factor
Derakhshan Jazari et al. [28]	Gender	M
Ekpenyong and Inyang [35], Muktar et al. [15]	Age	S
Alghadir and Anwer [4], Jaffar et al. [37]	Working experiences	S
Deros et al. [6]	Job types	M
Ekpenyong and Inyang [35], Egwuonwu et al. [32]	Body Mass Index (BMI)	M
Alghadir and Anwer [4]	Marital status	N
Kashif et al. [8]	Drug receiving habits	L

S = Strong effect, M = Moderate effect, L = Limited effect, N = No effect

Age and work experience exhibited a substantial effect on WRMSDs in construction workers, whereas drug-receiving habits showed a limited effect. However, a moderate effect for WRMSDs was found for gender, job types, and BMI. Additionally, there was no significant effect for WRMSDs among construction workers on marital status.

3.3 | Supporting Studies about the Association between Ergonomics Risk Factors and WRMSDs

The current study is primarily intended to summarize the supporting evidence regarding the task-specific risk factors contributing to WRMSDs in construction workers. In general, various physical activities can differentially contribute to the risk of WRMSDs in various body regions. In this review, ergonomic risk factors can be categorized as follows: 1) awkward working postures, such as bending, twisting, reaching, pulling, lifting, or cramping positions, 2) repetitive body movement, 3) vibration created by machines, 4) static loading (holding an object for long periods), 5) MMH, and 6) excessive workload (too much work to handle).

Table 3. The supporting studies for the association between Ergonomics risk factors and WRMSDs.

Study	Ergonomic Risk Factors	Levels of Findings of a Specific Risk Factor
Antwi-Afari et al. [38], Egwuonwu et al. [32], Hanklang et al. [25], Kaminskis and Antanaitis [39], Palikhe et al. [40]	Awkward working postures	S
Egwuonwu et al. [32], Palikhe et al. [40], Neeraja and Swarochish [41], Reddy et al. [26], Subedi and Pradhananga [42]	Repetitive body movement	S
Wang et al. [23], Yıldız et al. [43], Mustapha et al. [30], Lop et al. [44]	Vibration	S
Saha et al. [45], Hanklang et al. [25], Abas et al. [46]	Static loading	M
Boschman et al. [29], Saudi et al. [47], Ekpenyong and Inyang [35]	Manual Material Handling (MMH)	S
Boschman et al. [29], Neeraja and Swarochish [41], Robroek et al. [48]	Excessive workload	M

S = Strong effect, M = Moderate effect, L = lower effect, N = No effect

Table 3 shows the evidence for the association between ergonomic risk factors and WRMSDs in construction workers. Awkward working postures, repetitive body movement, machine vibration, and MMH were all identified as ergonomic risk factors for WRMSDs in construction workers. However, there was moderate evidence for the associations between static loading and WRMSDs in construction workers.

3.4 | Supporting Studies about the Association between Other Risk Factors and WRMSDs

Table 4 demonstrates strong evidence to support associations between other risk factors and WRMSDs in construction workers. On the other hand, there is moderate evidence indicating an association between Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and physical stress with WRMSDs in construction workers. Moreover, there is limited evidence suggesting that mental stress and air temperature were associated with WRMSDs in construction workers.

Table 4. The supporting studies for the association between other risk factors and WRMSDs.

Study	Risk Factors	Levels of Findings of a Specific Risk Factor
Antwi-Afari et al. [38], Patial et al. [49], Arias et al. [50], Umer et al. [51], Parida et al. [52], Parida and Ray [53], Palikhe et al. [40], Breloff et al. [54], Antwi-Afari et al. [16]	Biomechanical	S
Derakhshan Jazari [28], Yan et al. [33], Wong et al. [55]	PPE	M
Ng and Chan [56], Frimpong et al. [57]	Mental stress	L
Mustapha et al. [30], Yi and Chan [7]	Air temperature	L
Ekpenyong and Inyang [35], Egwuonwu et al. [32], Hanklang et al. [25]	Physical fatigue	S

S = Strong effect, M = Moderate effect, L = lower effect, N = No effect

4 | Discussion

WRMSDs in construction workers are a global concern. The prevalence rates of WRMSDs among construction workers in different countries range from 33% to 89%. The most commonly affected body parts were lower back pain (66%), followed by neck (44%), shoulders (43%), and knee (37%) among the construction workers [10], [58]. Additionally, the prevalence rates of wrist, hand, and lumber injuries were 21%, 22%, and 54%, respectively, among construction workers [10], [59]. This variation in prevalence can be attributed to the fact that the majority of the listed articles were cross-sectional surveys, with only one article conducting a face-to-face interview and medical examination [7]. Future research is required to determine the prevalence of WRMSDs across various construction industries. Additionally, more studies should be combined with objective and subjective evaluations that can distinguish the outcomes. These may include direct observation-based, video analyses, laboratory experimental, and real-time field assessments.

Researchers have revealed that individual factors play an essential role in developing WRMSDs in construction workers [4]. Although working experiences and worker characteristics are strongly linked to WRMSDs in construction workers, the relationship between other individual factors and WRMSDs remains unclear.

However, this study identified the most common ergonomic risk factors in construction workers for WRMSDs, which differ from those reported in other industries. Working in an abnormal posture, performing overhead work, and engaging in prolonged repetitive body movements, for example, were found to increase the risk of developing low back pain in construction workers in the current study. In contrast, previous reviews have highlighted that a high workforce, bending, twisting, and working in hot environments contribute to the development of WRMSDs in the agriculture, forestry, and machine manufacturing industries [18].

The discrepancy suggests the existence of task-specific risk factors. Generally, in developing countries, it is common for one worker to perform multiple construction tasks. For example, findings from studies involving wall-plastering workers may not be applicable to brick-laying workers. Regretfully, many of these studies surveyed workers from various construction sectors, making it challenging to identify task-specific ergonomic risk factors for the development of WRMSDs. Therefore, future research should investigate task-oriented risk factors for WRMSDs to address this issue.

Despite previous research demonstrating causal relationships between some common ergonomic risk factors, such as machine vibration, awkward working postures, repetitive body movements, and WRMSDs among workers in various industries (e.g., firefighter, agriculture, petroleum, nursing, etc.) [60], [61]. Nevertheless, their reports were not generalized to construction workers. As a result, potential future field research should aim to establish causal relationships between different ergonomic risk factors and WRMSDs in a variety of construction worker tasks.

Other factors, such as biomechanical, PPE, and physical work stress, have been identified as risk factors for developing WRMSDs in construction workers. Previous literature reviews have found a link between high physical work stress and WRMSDs in workers in various industries, including construction [62]. According to Antwi-Afari et al. [16], lifting weights and maintaining specific postures were significantly associated with an increased risk of WRMSDs in the lower extremities. Similarly, there is moderate evidence regarding the use of PPE and its association with WRMSDs in construction workers but limited evidence for the relationships between mental stress, air temperature, and WRMSDs [7], [55], [56].

Unfortunately, some of the included studies reported some biomechanical risk factors (such as lifting, carrying, and MMH) for WRMSDs in construction workers based on the laboratory experiment and specific tasks. Future studies can be conducted based on real-time work and explore other biomechanical risk factors, such as twisting, bending, different working postures, body angles, acceleration, and more.

5 | Limitations of the Study

Despite employing a systematic approach, the current review study has certain limitations related to the articles included. Firstly, the selected articles were collected from six electronic databases, and it may be beneficial to consider additional databases. Secondly, most of the included studies were designed as cross-sectional surveys to find out the relationship between risk factors and WRMSDs in construction workers. While cross-sectional surveys are an effective method for studying WRMSDs, alternative research could also be explored. Thirdly, only English-written articles were selected, potentially overlooking relevant studies in other languages. Finally, the current review categorized the risk factors as individual, ergonomic, and other risk factors. Still, a more comprehensive identification and analysis of other potential risk factors is needed.

6 | Conclusion

The prevalence rates of WRMSDs among construction workers have been summarized in this review study. Evidence supporting the associations between significant risk variables and WRMSDs in construction workers is also addressed herein. The results of this study underscore that WRMSDs among construction workers constitute a global problem. Strong evidence indicates that various individual (e.g., age, working experience), ergonomic (e.g., awkward body postures, repetitive body movement, vibration, high workload), and other factors (e.g., biomechanical, PPE, mental stress) were related to the development of WRMSDs in construction workers. This study will increase construction workers' awareness of the various risk factors related to WRMSDs. However, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study, the exact associations between various risk factors and the occurrence rate of WRMSDs remain somewhat unclear. Therefore, conducting experimental and real-time-based studies is essential to establish the precise relationships between risk factors and WRMSDs in construction workers. Biomechanical stimulation may also be helpful in exploring other risk factors (e.g., bending, twisting, angular velocity, acceleration, joint, and muscle force) related to WRMSDs.

Conflicts of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Bulduk, S., Bulduk, E. Ö., & Süren, T. (2017). Reduction of work-related musculoskeletal risk factors following ergonomics education of sewing machine operators. *International journal of occupational safety and ergonomics*, 23(3), 347–352. DOI:10.1080/10803548.2016.1262321
- [2] Eatough, E. M., Way, J. D., & Chang, C. H. (2012). Understanding the link between psychosocial work stressors and work-related musculoskeletal complaints. *Applied ergonomics*, 43(3), 554–563. DOI:10.1016/j.apergo.2011.08.009
- [3] Kim, S., Nussbaum, M. A., & Jia, B. (2011). Low back injury risks during construction with prefabricated (panelised) walls: effects of task and design factors. *Ergonomics*, 54(1), 60–71. DOI:10.1080/00140139.2010.535024
- [4] Alghadir, A., & Anwer, S. (2015). Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in construction workers in Saudi Arabia. *Scientific world journal*, 2015. DOI:10.1155/2015/529873
- [5] Leung, M. Y., Chan, I. Y. S., & Yu, J. (2012). Preventing construction worker injury incidents through the management of personal stress and organizational stressors. *Accident analysis and prevention*, 48, 156–166. DOI:10.1016/j.aap.2011.03.017
- [6] Deros, B. M., Daruis, D. D. I., Khamis, N. K., Mohamad, D., Daud, S. F. M., Amdan, S. M., ... & Jamal, N. (2014). Prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders symptoms among construction workers: a case study in Malaysia. *Iranian journal of public health*, 43(Supple 3), 53–57. <https://ijph.tums.ac.ir/index.php/ijph/article/view/4863>
- [7] Yi, W., & Chan, A. (2016). Health profile of construction workers in Hong Kong. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 13(12), 1232. DOI:10.3390/ijerph13121232
- [8] Kashif, M., Albalwi, A., Raqib, A., Farooq, M., Ullah, R., Sakoor, M., & Kamran, Z. (2022). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among Pakistani construction workers: prevalence, characteristics, and associated risk factors. *Work*, 72(1), 119–126. DOI:10.3233/WOR-205009
- [9] Adedoyin, A. R., Mbada, C. E., Ajayi, O. K., Idowu, O. A., Oghumu, S. N., Oke, K. I., ... & Fatoye, F. (2022). Prevalence and pattern of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among Nigerian bricklayers. *Work*, 72(2), 627–635. DOI:10.3233/WOR-205240
- [10] Goldsheyder, D., Weiner, S. S., Nordin, M., & Hiebert, R. (2004). Musculoskeletal symptom survey among cement and concrete workers. *Work*, 23(2), 111–121.
- [11] Cheng, C. W., Leu, S. Sen, Lin, C. C., & Fan, C. (2010). Characteristic analysis of occupational accidents at small construction enterprises. *Safety science*, 48(6), 698–707. DOI:10.1016/j.ssci.2010.02.001
- [12] Bhattacharya, A. (2014). Costs of occupational musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) in the United States. *International journal of industrial ergonomics*, 44(3), 448–454. DOI:10.1016/j.ergon.2014.01.008
- [13] Okenwa Emegwa, L. (2014). Determinants of sick leave duration following occupational injuries among workers in the county of Gävleborg, Sweden. *Occupational medicine & health affairs*, 2(4). DOI:10.4172/2329-6879.1000176
- [14] Nath, N. D., Akhavian, R., & Behzadan, A. H. (2017). Ergonomic analysis of construction worker's body postures using wearable mobile sensors. *Applied ergonomics*, 62, 107–117. DOI:10.1016/j.apergo.2017.02.007
- [15] Muktar, M. Z., Shamsudin, S. B., Lukman, K. A., & Jeffree, M. S. (2017). Assessment of ergonomic risk level and working performance of pre-cast construction workers in Sabah. *Malaysian journal of public health medicine*, 17(2), 151–158. [https://eprints.ums.edu.my/24934/7/Assessment of Ergonomic Risk Level and Working Performance of Pre-Cast Construction Workers in Sabah.pdf](https://eprints.ums.edu.my/24934/7/Assessment%20of%20Ergonomic%20Risk%20Level%20and%20Working%20Performance%20of%20Pre-Cast%20Construction%20Workers%20in%20Sabah.pdf)
- [16] Antwi-Afari, M. F., Li, H., Edwards, D. J., Päm, E. A., Seo, J., & Wong, A. Y. L. (2017). Biomechanical analysis of risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders during repetitive lifting task in construction workers. *Automation in construction*, 83, 41–47. DOI:10.1016/j.autcon.2017.07.007

- [17] Salas, E. A., Vi, P., Reider, V. L., & Moore, A. E. (2016). Factors affecting the risk of developing lower back musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) in experienced and inexperienced rodworkers. *Applied ergonomics*, 52, 62–68. DOI:10.1016/j.apergo.2015.06.016
- [18] Charles, L. E., Ma, C. C., Burchfiel, C. M., & Dong, R. G. (2018). Vibration and ergonomic exposures associated with musculoskeletal disorders of the shoulder and neck. *Safety and health at work*, 9(2), 125–132. DOI:10.1016/j.shaw.2017.10.003
- [19] Golabchi, A., Han, S., Fayek, A. R., & AbouRizk, S. (2017). Stochastic modeling for assessment of human perception and motion sensing errors in ergonomic analysis. *Journal of computing in civil engineering*, 31(4), 4017010. DOI:10.1061/(asce)cp.1943-5487.0000655
- [20] Albers, J. (2007). *Simple solutions: ergonomics for construction workers* (No. 2007-2122). US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2007-122/pdfs/2007-122.pdf>
- [21] Golabchi, A., Han, S., Seo, J., Han, S., Lee, S., & Al-Hussein, M. (2015). An automated biomechanical simulation approach to ergonomic job analysis for workplace design. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 141(8), 4015020. DOI:10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0000998
- [22] Anwer, S., Li, H., Antwi-Afari, M. F., & Wong, A. Y. L. (2021). Associations between physical or psychosocial risk factors and work-related musculoskeletal disorders in construction workers based on literature in the last 20 years: a systematic review. *International journal of industrial ergonomics*, 83, 103113. DOI:10.1016/j.ergon.2021.103113
- [23] Wang, X., Dong, X. S., Choi, S. D., & Dement, J. (2017). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among construction workers in the United States from 1992 to 2014. *Occupational and environmental medicine*, 74(5), 374–380. DOI:10.1136/oemed-2016-103943
- [24] Mungroo, S., & Choi, S. D. (2018). Ergonomic assessment of work-related musculoskeletal risks among construction roofers in central Trinidad. *Journal of safety health and environment research*, 14(1), 322–347.
- [25] Hanklang, S., Kaewboonchoo, O., Silpasuwan, P., & Mungarndee, S. S. (2014). Musculoskeletal disorders among Thai women in construction-related work. *Asia-pacific journal of public health*, 26(2), 196–202. DOI:10.1177/1010539512466429
- [26] Reddy, G. M. M., Nisha, B., Prabhushankar, T., & Vishwambhar, V. (2016). Musculoskeletal morbidity among construction workers: a cross-sectional community-based study. *Indian journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, 20(3), 144–149. DOI:10.4103/0019-5278.203134
- [27] Fernando, W. I. B., De Silva, P. V., & Sundarapperuma, S. M. T. D. (2016). Prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders in brick industry workers in Chilaw Sri Lanka. *Journal of the ruhunu clinical society*, 21(1), 9–15. DOI:10.4038/jrcs.v21i1.15
- [28] Derakhshan Jazari, M., Jahangiri, M., Khaleghi, H., Abbasi, N., Hassanipour, S., Shakerian, M., & Kamalinia, M. (2018). Prevalence of self-reported work-related illness and injuries among building construction workers, Shiraz, Iran. *EXCLI journal*, 17, 724–733. DOI:10.17179/excli2018-1459
- [29] Boschman, J. S., Van Der Molen, H. F., Sluiter, J. K., & Frings-Dresen, M. H. (2012). Musculoskeletal disorders among construction workers: a one-year follow-up study. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*, 13, 1–10. DOI:10.1186/1471-2474-13-196
- [30] Mustapha, Z., Akomah, B. B., & Baiden, J. (2022). Impact of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among construction workers in Ghana. *Baltic journal of real estate economics and construction management*, 10(1), 129–139. DOI:10.2478/bjreecm-2022-0009
- [31] Berhanu, F., Gebrehiwot, M., & Gizaw, Z. (2019). Workplace injury and associated factors among construction workers in Gondar town, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*, 20(1), 1–9. DOI:10.1186/s12891-019-2917-1
- [32] Egwuonwu, A. V., Mbaoma, C. P., & Abdullahi, A. (2016). Prevalence and associated risk factors of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among road construction workers in a Nigerian community. *Ergonomics sa*, 28(1), 25–37. DOI:10.4314/esa.v28i1.4

- [33] Yan, X., Li, H., Li, A. R., & Zhang, H. (2017). Wearable IMU-based real-time motion warning system for construction workers' musculoskeletal disorders prevention. *Automation in construction*, 74, 2–11. DOI:10.1016/j.autcon.2016.11.007
- [34] Chakraborty, T., Das, S. K., Pathak, V., & Mukhopadhyay, S. (2018). Occupational stress, musculoskeletal disorders and other factors affecting the quality of life in Indian construction workers. *International journal of construction management*, 18(2), 144–150. DOI:10.1080/15623599.2017.1294281
- [35] Ekpenyong, C. E., & Inyang, U. C. (2014). Associations between worker characteristics, workplace factors, and work-related musculoskeletal disorders: a cross-sectional study of male construction workers in Nigeria. *International journal of occupational safety and ergonomics*, 20(3), 447–462. DOI:10.1080/10803548.2014.11077057
- [36] Mbada, C. E., Abegunrin, A. F., Egwu, M. O., Fatoye, C. T., Moda, H., Falade, O., & Fatoye, F. (2022). Prevalence, pattern and risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders among Nigerian plumbers. *Plos one*, 17(10), e0273956. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273956>
- [37] Jaffar, N., Abdul-Tharim, A. H., Mohd-Kamar, I. F., & Lop, N. S. (2011). A literature review of ergonomics risk factors in construction industry. *Procedia engineering*, 20, 89–97. DOI:10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.142
- [38] Antwi-Afari, M. F., Li, H., Yu, Y., & Kong, L. (2018). Wearable insole pressure system for automated detection and classification of awkward working postures in construction workers. *Automation in construction*, 96, 433–441. DOI:10.1016/j.autcon.2018.10.004
- [39] Kaminskis, K. A., & Antanaitis, J. (2010). A cross-sectional survey of construction workers: an ergonomic approach. *10th international conference modern building materials, structures and techniques* (pp. 1246–1252). Technika. <https://etalpykla.vilniustech.lt/handle/123456789/127863>
- [40] Palikhe, S., Yirong, M., Choi, B. Y., & Lee, D. E. (2020). Analysis of musculoskeletal disorders and muscle stresses on construction workers' awkward postures using simulation. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(14), 5693. DOI:10.3390/su12145693
- [41] Neeraja, T., Lal, B. I. A. S., & Swarochish, C. (2014). The factors associated with MSDs among construction workers. *Journal of human ergology*, 43(1), 1–8.
- [42] Subedi, S., & Pradhananga, N. (2021). Sensor-based computational approach to preventing back injuries in construction workers. *Automation in construction*, 131, 103920. DOI:10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103920
- [43] Yıldız, S. A., Çöğürçü, M. T., Uzun, M., & Armağan, K. (2019). Optimal retirement age for construction workers exposed to vibration: a case study in Turkey. *Avrupa bilim ve teknoloji dergisi*, (17), 1294–1306. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sadik_Yildizel/publication/338293745_Optimal_Retirement_Age_for_Construction_Workers_Exposed_to_Vibration_A_Case_Study_in_Turkey/links/5e0c67b9a6fdcc28374d4814/Optimal-Retirement-Age-for-Construction-Workers-Exposed-to
- [44] Lop, N. S. B., Salleh, N. M., Zain, F. M. Y., & Saidin, M. T. (2019). Ergonomic risk factors (ERF) and their association with musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) among Malaysian construction trade workers: concreters. *International journal of academic research in business and social sciences*, 9(9), 1269–1282. DOI:10.6007/ijarbss/v9-i9/6420
- [45] Saha, P., Basu, B., & Devashish Sen, D. (2017). Ergonomic evaluation of physiological stress of building construction workers associated with manual material handling tasks. *Progress in health sciences*, 7(1), 54–62. DOI:10.5604/01.3001.0010.2413
- [46] Abas, N. H., Nazri, M. I. A. R. A., Affandi, H. M., Deraman, R., Hasmori, M. F., Nagapan, S., ... & Kamal, M. F. M. (2018). A survey on work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) among construction trades. *International journal of integrated engineering*, 10(4), 131–139. <https://penerbit.uthm.edu.my/ojs/index.php/ijie/article/view/1934>
- [47] Saudi, A. S. M., Rahman, R. A., Mahmud, M., Ishak, R., Ridzuan, I. S. D., Balakrishnan, A., ... & Saudi, M. H. M. (2017). The effectiveness of ergonomic practice in preventing musculoskeletal disorder among Asian construction workers: case study in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Advanced science letters*, 23(11), 11391–11394. DOI:10.1166/asl.2017.10290
- [48] Robroek, S. J. W., Järholm, B., Van Der Beek, A. J., Proper, K. I., Wahlström, J., & Burdorf, A. (2017). Influence of obesity and physical workload on disability benefits among construction workers followed up for 37 years. *Occupational and environmental medicine*, 74(9), 621–627. DOI:10.1136/oemed-2016-104059

- [49] Patial, R., Gusain, H., Yadav, B. P., & Siddiqui, N. A. (2023). A review of ergonomic risk assessment techniques employed in construction industry. *Advances in construction safety* (pp. 117–131). Springer. <https://ouci.dntb.gov.ua/en/works/IDXnBgdl/>
- [50] Arias, O., Koenig, G., & Choi, S. D. (2022). Musculoskeletal acute and chronic pain surveyed among construction workers in Wisconsin, United States: a pilot study. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(20), 13279. DOI:10.3390/su142013279
- [51] Umer, W., Li, H., Szeto, G. P. Y., & Wong, A. Y. L. (2017). Identification of biomechanical risk factors for the development of lower-back disorders during manual rebar tying. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 143(1), 4016080. DOI:10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001208
- [52] Parida, R., Sarkar, S., & Ray, P. K. (2016). Improving occupational health of Indian construction workers: a biomechanical evaluation approach. In *Smart technologies for smart nations: perspectives from the Asia-Pacific Region* (pp. 195–216). Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-287-585-3_13
- [53] Parida, R., & Ray, P. K. (2012). Biomechanical modelling and evaluation of construction jobs for performance improvement. *Work*, 41(Supplement 1), 5959–5965. DOI:10.3233/WOR-2012-0994-5959
- [54] Breloff, S. P., Dutta, A., Dai, F., Sinsel, E. W., Warren, C. M., Ning, X., & Wu, J. Z. (2019). Assessing work-related risk factors for musculoskeletal knee disorders in construction roofing tasks. *Applied ergonomics*, 81, 102901. DOI:10.1016/j.apergo.2019.102901
- [55] Wong, T. K. M., Man, S. S., & Chan, A. H. S. (2021). Exploring the acceptance of PPE by construction workers: an extension of the technology acceptance model with safety management practices and safety consciousness. *Safety science*, 139, 105239.
- [56] Ng, J. Y. K., & Chan, A. H. S. (2018). The work ability of Hong Kong construction workers in relation to individual and work-related factors. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 15(5), 990. DOI:10.3390/ijerph15050990
- [57] Frimpong, S., Bemah Antwi, A., Yosia Sunindijo, R., Changxin Wang, C., Ampratwum, G., Dansoh, A., ... & Annor Mensah, P. (2022). Health status of young construction workers in the Global South: the case of Ghana. *Safety science*, 148, 105673. DOI:10.1016/j.ssci.2022.105673
- [58] Widanarko, B., Legg, S., Stevenson, M., Devereux, J., Eng, A., Cheng, S., ... & Pearce, N. (2011). Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms in relation to gender, age, and occupational/industrial group. *International journal of industrial ergonomics*, 41(5), 561–572. DOI:10.1016/j.ergon.2011.06.002
- [59] Gilkey, D. P., Keefe, T. J., Herron, R. E., Duvall, K., Rosecrance, J. S., Bigelow, P. L., ... & Sesek, R. (2007). Low back pain among residential carpenters: ergonomic evaluation using owas and 2d compression estimation. *International journal of occupational safety and ergonomics*, 13(3), 305–321. DOI:10.1080/10803548.2007.11076731
- [60] Wai, E. K., Roffey, D. M., Bishop, P., Kwon, B. K., & Dagenais, S. (2010). Causal assessment of occupational lifting and low back pain: results of a systematic review. *The spine journal*, 10(6), 554–566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2010.03.033>
- [61] Da Costa, B. R., & Vieira, E. R. (2010). Risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review of recent longitudinal studies. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 53(3), 285–323. DOI:10.1002/ajim.20750
- [62] Bongers, P. M., Kremer, A. M., & Laak, J. Ter. (2002). Are psychosocial factors, risk factors for symptoms and signs of the shoulder, elbow, or hand/wrist? A review of the epidemiological literature. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 41(5), 315–342. DOI:10.1002/ajim.10050